

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/147,428 12/22/98 SHIOTA Υ 2839-0065-3-**EXAMINER** IM62/0718 OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT CINTINS, I 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT FOURTH FLOOR ARLINGTON VA 22202 1724

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

07/18/00

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/147,428**

Applicant(s)

Shiota et al.

Examiner

Ivars C. Cintins

Group Art Unit 1724



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 25, 2000	<u> </u>
★ This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matt in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 45	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond wapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time n 37 CFR 1.136(a).	vithin the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-20	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
X Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5, 10-17, and 20	
☐ Claims are sub	
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT	го-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority	documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the International	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 t	U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	_
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
in recise of uncontain atom Application, 1100	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOW!	INC DACES

Serial Number: 09/147,428 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 10-14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. The term "packet bed" (claim 1, line 3) appears to be a typographical error which renders this claim indefinite. Claims 10-14 and 20 depend from claim 1, and are therefore also indefinite. Applicant is advised that an amendment changing "packet" to --- packed --- in the above noted expression would overcome this portion of the rejection. Claim 20 is further indefinite because the structural interrelationship between the packed bed of catalyst/adsorbent and the wet oxidation treatment unit has not been clearly set forth.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

Serial Number: 09/147,428

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 1, 2, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller. The reference discloses an apparatus comprising a packed bed of solid adsorbent (i.e. cation exchange resin bed 32), a water permeable "pressure layer" (bed 34) located above the packed bed, and a layer (30) for "dispersing and mitigating" an upward stream of water located below the packed bed; and this is all that is required by claims 1, 2, 10 and 15.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 5, 11, 12, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller. The reference discloses the claimed invention with the exception of the void percentage of the pressure layer and the dispersing and mitigating layer, and the individual height of each of these layers. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ beds having the recited porosity and height in the system of

Serial Number: 09/147,428

Art Unit: 1724

Miller, in order to provide a desired degree of liquid purification in this reference system.

Applicant's arguments filed April 25, 2000 have been noted and carefully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive of patentability. Applicant argues that activated carbon bed 30 of Miller is not intended to be a dispersing/mitigating layer, but rather an adsorbent for treating water. Applicant further argues that calcium carbonate bed 34 of Miller is not intended to be a water permeable pressure layer, but rather a chemical substance for treating water. It is pointed out, however, that activated carbon bed 30 of this reference is inherently capable of dispersing and mitigating an upward stream of water to some extent; and therefore, this bed is deemed to be patentably indistinguishable from the broadly recited "dispersing and mitigating layer" of claims 10 and 15. Similarly, calcium carbonate bed 34 of this reference is inherently capable of suppressing a deformation or a movement of the surface of packed bed 32 to some extent; and therefore, this bed is deemed to be patentably indistinguishable from the broadly recited "pressure layer" of claims 1 and 2. The argument that these layers in the reference device are not intended to be employed in the manner recited in Applicant's claims is not deemed to be persuasive of patentability, since it is well settled that a recitation with

Page 5

Serial Number: 09/147,428

Art Unit: 1724

respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Claims 3, 6-9, 18 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowed if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 13, 14 and 20 would also be allowed if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and if further amended to overcome the above rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing

Serial Number: 09/147,428

Art Unit: 1724

date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is (703) 308-3840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

The fax phone numbers for this art unit are: (703) 305-3599 for "Official" faxes after Final Rejection; (703) 305-7718 for all other "Official" faxes; and (703) 305-3602 for "Draft" and other "Unofficial" faxes.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins
July 16, 2000